

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,455	_	05/04/2001	David J. Thomsen	33362.8001US1 8660	
25096	7590	12/03/2003		EXAMINER	
PERKINS	COIE LL	LP .	WONG, LESLIE		
PATENT-S	EA				
P.O. BOX 1247				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				2177	7
				DATE MAILED: 12/03/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Anational No.	I Annii anda)					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/849,455	THOMSEN, DAVID J.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leslie Wong	2177					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	eptember 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 47-49 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 and 50-56 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>	Claim(s) <u>1-25 and 50-56</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So tion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the second content of the foreign language pro 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of the second content of of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicating documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190 at sentence of the specification of the covisional application has been recognitive under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ed in this National Stage  ed. (e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet.  ceived. 0 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2177

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Election was made without traverse of Group I, claims 1-25 and 47-56, in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Group II, claims 26-46, are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 47 is objected to because of the following informalities: the second "is" on the last line of claim 47 should be "on". Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 50-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Levey** (US 2001/0032097 A1).

Regarding claim 50, **Levey** teaches a method for providing a user with economic data over the Internet, comprising:

Art Unit: 2177

- a). providing over the Internet a display description identifying a plurality of databases for economic data, the economic data including at least one of compensation data, cost of living data and employee benefits data with at least two of the databases providing similar types of data obtained from different sources and based on different populations (¶ 0033 and 0007);
- b). receiving a selection from a user over the Internet of one of the databases (¶ 0033 lines 1-5);
  - c). receiving a query from the user over the Internet for data contained in the one database (¶ 0033 lines 1-5);
  - d). retrieving data from the one database in response to the query from the user (¶ 0033 lines 5-11); and
- e). displaying to the user over the Internet the data corresponding to the query (¶ 0033 lines 9-11).

Regarding claim 51, **Levey** further teaches wherein identifying a plurality of databases includes identifying a first database containing data gathered by a governmental entity and identifying a second database containing data received from users over the Internet (¶ 0006 and 0007).

Regarding claim 52, **Levey** further teaches wherein the first and second requests are received from a single user (¶ 0033 lines 1-5).

Art Unit: 2177

Regarding claim 53, **Levey** further teaches wherein the first request is received from a first user and the second request is received from a second user (¶ 0033 lines 1-5).

Regarding claim 54, **Levey** further teaches checking the input data for validity by determining whether the input data are consistent with economic data in the database of the same type as the input data (¶ 0024).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levey (US 2001/0032097 A1) in view of Almog et al. (US 2002/0002479 A1).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 14, and 23, **Levey** teaches a method and computer-readable medium in a computer system for exchanging economic data with a user, comprising:

a). receiving a request from a user for a first item of economic data, the first item including at least one of wage data, cost of living data, cost of labor data and employee benefit data (¶ 0033 lines 1-5);

Art Unit: 2177

b). retrieving the first item of economic data from a database (¶ 0033 lines 5-10);

- c). providing over the Internet a web page for view by the user, the web page displaying the first item of economic data (¶ 0016 lines 1-3);
- d). receiving a second item of economic data from the user over the Internet in exchange for the first item of economic data, the second item of economic data including at least one of wage data, cost of living; data and employee benefit data (¶ 0033 lines 1-5);
- e). checking the second item of economic data for validity by determining whether the second item of economic data is consistent with economic data in the database of the same type as the second item of data (¶ 0024);
- f). updating the database to include the second item of data if the second item of data is determined to be valid (¶ 0027).
- g). Levey does not explicitly teach a step of providing the first item of economic data to the user free of charge.

Almog et al. teaches wherein providing the first item of economic data to the user free of charge (¶ 0129).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to providing the first item of economic data to the user free of charge because doing so would encourage user to provide information to the database.

Art Unit: 2177

Regarding claims 2, 9, 10, 18, and 19, **Levey** further teaches wherein determining whether the second item of economic data is consistent with the economic data in the database includes determining whether the second item falls within two standard deviations of a mean for data in the database averagable with the second item of data (¶ 0024 and 0028).

Regarding claims 3, 8, 17, and 24, **Levey** further teaches wherein receiving the second item of economic data includes receiving at least one of the user's geographic location, position, years of experience in the position, annual salary and annual bonus or incentive payment (¶ 0027).

Regarding claims 5, 6, and 15, **Levey** does not explicitly teach wherein at least reducing a fee due from the user includes eliminating any fee due from the user for the first item of economic data.

Almog et al. teaches wherein providing the first item of economic data to the user free of charge (¶ 0129).

Regarding claims 7 and 16, **Levey** further teaches wherein providing the first item of economic data includes providing domestic and/or international wage data, cost of living data, cost of labor data and/or employee benefit data (¶ 0033 and 0034).

Art Unit: 2177

Regarding claims 11 and 20, **Levey** further teaches updating the database to include the second item of economic data (¶ 0027).

Regarding claims 12, 21, and 25 **Levey** further teaches wherein the user request is a first user request and wherein the method further comprises:

- a). receiving over the computer network a second user request for economic data (¶ 0033 lines 1-5);
- b). retrieving economic data from the database in response to the second user request after the database has been updated to include the second item of economic data (¶ 0027 and 0028); and
- c). displaying the economic data to the user over the computer network in response to the second user request, the economic data being based at least in part on the second item of economic data in the database (¶ 0033 lines 5-11).

Regarding claims 13 and 22, **Levey** further teaches wherein the first item of economic data can be based on a plurality of data sources, and wherein the method further includes receiving a request that the data be based on a selected subset of the plurality of data sources (¶ 0007).

7. Claims 55-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levey (US 2001/0032097 A1) as applied to claims 50-54 above and in view of Almog et al. (US 2002/0002479 A1).

Art Unit: 2177

Regarding claims 55 and 56, **Levey** does not explicitly teach wherein at least reducing a fee due from the user includes eliminating any fee due from the user for the first item of economic data.

Almog et al. teaches wherein providing the first item of economic data to the user free of charge (¶ 0129).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to providing the first item of economic data to the user free of charge because doing so would encourage user to provide information to the database.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 47-49 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior art of record fails to teach a combination of elements including updating the sample size to be a second sample size greater than the first sample size and indiciating over the Internet that the sample size on which the second output data is based on the second sample size as recited in independent claim 47.

9. Claims 48 and 49 are allowable because of their dependency on claim 47.

Art Unit: 2177

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Baumgarten et al. (US 2002/0026452 A1)

Perell et al. (US 2001/0047347 A1)

Turnasella (US 2003/0145015 A1)

Thomas (US 2002/0002482 A1)

Vivona (U.S. Patent 5,960,407)

**Zhang et al.** (US 2002/0188542 A1)

Barton (US 2002/0046074 A1)

Plunkett et al. (US 2002/0143752 A1)

Farkas et al. (US 2003/0208388 A1)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 305-3018. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30am - 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Art Unit: 2177

Leslie Wong Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2177

lw November 29, 2003

> JEAN R. JOMERE PRIMARY EXAMINER